

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/868,141	06/15/2001	Shuji Takana	1422-0480P	6016
2292	7590 10/07/2005		EXAMINER	
	EWART KOLASCH &	DOUYON,	DOUYON, LORNA M	
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1751	
			DATE MAILED: 10/07/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	\searrow	the state of the s				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comment	09/868,141	TAKANA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lorna M. Douyon	1751				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ju	ıly 2005.					
· <u> </u>	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8,16 and 18</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-6,8,16 and 18</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction		•				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ammer. Note the attached Office	ACTION OF IONIT PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	` ' ' '					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
	•					
Attachment(s)	∧ □ ~	(DTO 440)				
1)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/4/05.	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper (NO(5)) Iviali Date <u>34700</u> .						

1. This action is responsive to the amendment filed on July 11, 2005.

- 2. Claims 1-6, 8, 16 and 18 are pending.
- 3. The rejection of claims 1-6, 8, 16 and 18 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Emery et al. (US Patent No. 6,191,095) is withdrawn in view of Applicants' amendment and arguments therein.
- 4. Claims 1-6, 8, 16 and 18 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Atkinson et al. (US Patent No. 4,900,466), hereinafter "Atkinson" for the reasons set forth in the previous office action.

Response to Applicants' Arguments

5. Applicants' arguments filed July 11, 2005 have been carefully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to the rejection based upon Atkinson, Applicants argue that the Examiner seems to only refer to detergent additive particles and that the pending claims are directed to composite detergent particles obtained by dry-mixing detergent additive particles (a) and detergent particles (b), and that the weight ratio of detergent additive particles (a)/detergent particles (b) is now recited to be 15/85 to 40/60. Applicants also argue that claim 1 has been amended to recite that the content of surfactant in the detergent additive particles (a) is "less than

Application/Control Number: 09/868,141

Art Unit: 1751

4% by weight", which is not taught or rendered obvious by the disclosure of the cited Atkinson reference.

The Examiner respectfully disagrees with the above arguments because of the following reasons. In col. 2, line 67 to col. 3, line 27, Atkinson teaches a process for the production of a powder suitable for use as a granular detergent composition or a component thereof, which comprises the steps of (i) preparing an aqueous slurry comprising sodium carbonate, and optionally also comprising sodium sulphate, an effective amount of a crystal growth modifier which is an organic material having at least three carboxyl groups in the molecule; and optionally one or more anionic and/or nonionic detergent active compounds, (ii) drying to form a powder; (iii) optionally incorporating into the dried powder one or more detergent components in liquid form and/or mixing the dried powder with one or more solid detergent components. In col. 6, lines 62-68, Atkinson also teaches that the spray-dried powder of step (ii) may be a predominantly inorganic carrier intended specially as a vehicle for the nonionic surfactant, and may perhaps form only a minor part of the final product, and in step (iii) it will then be mixed with the main product, which might itself have been spray-dried in a separate operation. In col. 9, lines 51-62, Atkinson teaches that an adjunct will be prepared by spraying liquid or liquefied nonionic surfactant onto a spray-dried carrier material according to the invention, and the adjunct is then postdosed to a base powder containing anionic surfactant, possibly nonionic surfactant and builders prepared in a separate spray-drying operation and that the adjunct, may, for example, contain from 5 to 40% by weight of nonionic surfactant and from 60 to 95% by weight of crystal-growth-modified inorganic salts and that the adjunct may, for example, constitute from 5 to 20% by weight of the final powder. In Examples 24 and 25 as stated in the previous office

Art Unit: 1751

action, the adjunct carrying nonionic surfactant is mixed with a spray-dried base powder. Hence, it is clear from the above teachings that Atkinson teaches composite detergent particles comprising the adjunct carrier [equivalent to additive particles (a)] and spray-dried base powder [equivalent to detergent particles (b)]. The teachings above with respect to the adjunct constituting from 5 to 20% by weight of the final powder overlaps the required weight ratio of additive particles (a)/ detergent particles (b) of 15/85 to 40/60. Regarding the amount of surfactant in the adjunct carrier, it should be noted that the addition of one or more anionic and/or nonionic detergent active compounds to the slurry in step (i) is optional, hence the resulting dried powder need not contain anionic and/or nonionic detergent active compounds, hence, this would read on the present claims' "less than 4% by weight". Even assuming the 5-40 wt% nonionic surfactant which is sprayed onto the adjunct is considered part of the adjunct, the lower limit still reads on "less than 4% by weight" because a prima facie case of obviousness exists where the claimed ranges and prior art ranges do not overlap but are close enough that one skilled in the art would have expected them to have the same properties, see *Titanium* MetalsCorp. of America v. Banner, 778F.2d 775, 227 USPQ 773 (Fed. Cir. 1985), In re Woodruff 16 USPQ 2d 1934 (Fed. Cir. 1990); See MPEP 2144.05I.

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Application/Control Number: 09/868,141 Page 5

Art Unit: 1751

date of this final action.

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lorna M. Douyon whose telephone number is (571) 272-1313. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Fridays from 8:00AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lorna M. Douyon
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1751